

Response to Restriction Requirement (with Traverse)
I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being transmitted via the Office electronic filing system in accordance with § 1.8(a)(4).

Dated: April 17, 2009

Signature: /Kristin L. Murphy/
Electronic signature of Kristin L. Murphy

Docket No.: 66967-0036
(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:
Rolf Cremerius

Application No.: 10/562,650

Confirmation No.: 3827

Filed: April 11, 2006

Art Unit: 3727

For: PROCESS OF AND DEVICE FOR
MACHINING BALL HUBS

Examiner: L. D. Wilson

RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANT AMENDMENT

MS Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Madam:

In response to the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment mailed March 17, 2009 (Paper No. 20090314), Applicant hereby provisionally elects Group I for continued examination, with traverse.

The Examiner has required restriction between the following to inventions:

Group I, claims 1, and 27-45, drawn to a process, classified in class 451, subclass 51;
and

Group II, claims 46-66 drawn to a device, classified in class 451, subclass 365.

Based on a review of the claims, Applicant elects Group I directed to claims 1 and 27-45, with traverse and without prejudice.